

2023 Truman Nominee Rating Form – 12 Point Max

Nominee _____ State _____ Reader _____

Rating Points

	0	1	2	3	Points
Public Service record and commitment (Items 2, 3, 4, 8, letter)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Leadership record (Items 2, 3, 4, 7, letters).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Appropriateness for proposed graduate study (Items 3, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, transcript, policy proposal, letters)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Quality of Application: consistency of responses, quality of writing, good picture of the candidate and their motivation for a career in public service	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Bonus.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>			

Point Total: _____

Essential characteristics for advancement met?

	YES	NO
Enthusiastic and responsive Institution Nomination Letter.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sustained participation in two or more of the following.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
▶ Campus activities & student government (Item 2)		
▶ Community activities (Item 3)		
▶ Partisan politics and campaigns (Item 4)		
▶ Government internships or government employment (Items 4, 5)		
▶ Public or nonprofit boards/commissions, public interest/advocacy groups (Items 4, 5)		
Transcript--Fall 2021 semester shows strong grades, ambitious curriculum, and course(s) related to proposed career field	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Policy-related ambitions in the public sector or in primary/secondary education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Graduate study proposal appropriate for career goals and ambitions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Consistent responses to Items 9, 10, 11, 12, 13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Policy proposal reveals understanding of a significant problem in candidate's intended field <i>and</i> some ability to analyze a complex topic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall:

- Outstanding** (Generally, over 8 points)
- Strong** (Generally, 7.0. to 8.0 points)
- Good** (Generally, 6.0 to 6.5 points)

- Not a Finalist** (Generally, fewer than 6 points or no strong case made for advancement)

Comments (Rationale for advancing the candidate/not advancing the candidate.)